

2025 WESTERN STATES SURVEY

Interview Schedule – TREND

New Bridge Strategy / FM3 Research

January 3-17, 2025

At least N=400 Voters per state; 3,316 total
(AZ, CO, ID, MT, NV, NM, UT & WY)

Margin of Error: At most $\pm 4.9\%$ per state

Margin of Error: $\pm 2.46\%$ effective overall sample



In this document:

- *An asterisk (*) in a response category means that less than 0.5% of respondents chose that response category and a dash (-) represents no response.*
 - *A carrot (^) denotes rounding. Due to rounding, some figures may be higher or lower by less than one-half of one percent.*
 - *2025 trend is shown among the states that were interviewed in the first trend point.*
 - *2011 States: CO, MT, NM, UT & WY*
 - *2012-2015 States: AZ, CO, MT, NM, UT & WY*
 - *2016-2017 States: AZ, CO, MT, NM, NV, UT & WY*
 - *2018-2024 States: AZ, CO, ID, MT, NM, NV, UT & WY*
-

Switching topics –

The following are some different agencies which deal with issues in your state. For each one, please indicate whether you **(ROTATE)** approve or disapprove of the job they are doing at this time.

(ON PHONES IF CHOICE) Is that STRONGLY (approve/disapprove) or just SOMEWHAT (approve/disapprove)?

(ON PHONES IF DON'T KNOW/NEVER HEARD OF) Have you not heard of **(INSERT)** or do you just not have an opinion of the job they are doing at this time?

	TOTAL APPROVE	TOTAL DIS-APPROVE	STRNGLY APPROVE	SMWHT APPROVE	SMWHT DIS-APPROVE	STRGLY DIS-APPROVE	NO OPIN	NEVER HEARD OF	DK/REF (DNR/NOT ONLINE)
The first/next one is...(RANDOMIZE)(DO NOT SHOW ONLINE)									
3.	U.S. Forest Service (ASKED OF SAMPLE A, N=1,683)								
2017	76%	12%	38%	38%	7%	5%	9%	2%	*
2025	79%	10%	41%	38%	6%	4%	8%	1%	2%
4.	National Park Service (ASKED OF SAMPLE A, N=1,683)								
2017	82%	10%	45%	37%	6%	4%	6%	1%	1%
2025	86%	7%	48%	38%	4%	3%	6%	1%	*
6.	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (ASKED OF SAMPLE B, N=1,634)								
2017	76%	10%	33%	43%	6%	4%	10%	3%	*
2025	75%^	9%^	35%	39%	6%	2%	14%	1%	1%
7.	Bureau of Land Management (ASKED OF SAMPLE B, N=1,634)								
2017	56%	25%	16%	40%	13%	12%	13%	5%	1%
2025	62%	17%	21%	41%	11%	6%	15%	4%	2%

Next, **ONLINE:** please read / **PHONE:** I'm going to read you a list of issues some people say are problems in **(INSERT STATE)**. For each one, please **ONLINE:** indicate/ **PHONES:** tell me if you think it is **(ROTATE TOP TO BOTTOM, BOTTOM TO TOP)** an extremely serious problem, a very serious problem, a somewhat serious problem, or not a problem in **(INSERT STATE)**.

	EXT/VERY SERIOUS	EXTREMELY SERIOUS	VERY SERIOUS	SOMEWHAT SERIOUS	NOT A PROBLEM	DK/REF (DNR/NOT ONLINE)
--	-----------------------------	------------------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------	--------------------------	------------------------------------

The (first/next) one is... **(RANDOMIZE)**

9. Loss of habitat for fish and wildlife **(ASKED OF SAMPLE A, N=1,683)**

2011	38%	15%	23%	38%	23%	2%
2020	43%	15%	28%	32%	24%	1%
2021	55%	23%	32%	29%	15%	1%
2022	55%	25%	30%	33%	11%	1%
2023	52%^	24%	27%	30%	17%	1%
2024	58%^	23%	34%	30%	11%	2%
2025	54%^	27%	28%	31%	14%	1%

10. Inadequate water supplies **(ASKED OF SAMPLE B, N=1,634)**

2011	40%	15%	25%	35%	24%	1%
2020	45%	17%	28%	34%	20%	1%
2021	51%	24%	27%	34%	14%	2%
2022	70%	35%	35%	19%	9%	2%
2023	61%	32%	29%	25%	13%	--
2024	62%	32%	30%	23%	15%	--
2025	63%^	34%	30%	25%	11%	1%

11. Uncontrollable wildfires that threaten homes and property **(ASKED OF SAMPLE A, N=1,683)**

2016	32%	11%	21%	45%	22%	1%
2020	47%	18%	29%	35%	18%	*
2021	60%	30%	30%	30%	10%	*
2022	63%	30%	33%	28%	9%	--
2023	53%	25%	28%	31%	15%	1%
2024	57%	27%	30%	31%	11%	1%
2025	60%	31%	29%	30%	9%	1%

12. Low level of water in rivers **(ASKED OF SAMPLE B, N=1,634)**

2013	60%	31%	29%	27%	11%	2%
2020	55%^	24%	30%	26%	17%	2%
2021	61%	31%	30%	27%	10%	2%
2022	75%	42%	33%	20%	4%	1%
2023	69%^	40%	30%	24%	7%	--
2024	72%	36%	36%	22%	5%	1%
2025	68%	35%	33%	23%	7%	2%

	EXT/VERY SERIOUS	EXTREMELY SERIOUS	VERY SERIOUS	SOMEWHAT SERIOUS	NOT A PROBLEM	DK/REF (DNR/NOT ONLINE)
13.	Pollution of rivers, lakes and streams (ASKED OF SAMPLE A, N=1,683)					
2011	42%	19%	23%	39%	18%	1%
2020	52%^	21%	32%	31%	15%	1%
2021	55%^	26%	30%	31%	12%	1%
2022	54%	23%	31%	35%	10%	1%
2024	58%	25%	33%	28%	13%	1%
2025	59%	29%	30%	29%	12%	--
14.	Population declines of fish and wildlife (ASKED OF SAMPLE B, N=1,634)					
2021	48%	21%	27%	32%	17%	3%
2022	51%	21%	30%	31%	16%	2%
2023	47%^	18%	28%	36%	14%	3%
2024	54%	22%	32%	32%	13%	1%
2025	52%	24%	28%	30%	14%	4%
15.	Loss of natural areas (ASKED OF SAMPLE A, N=1,683)					
2011	36%	15%	21%	35%	27%	2%
2020	44%	18%	26%	33%	21%	2%
2022	55%^	23%	33%	32%	12%	1%
2023	49%^	21%	29%	32%	17%	1%
2024	57%	24%	33%	28%	15%	*
2025	55%	26%	29%	30%	15%	*
16.	The rising cost of living (ASKED OF SAMPLE B, N=1,634)					
2022	75%^	40%	34%	23%	2%	--
2023	78%	46%	32%	19%	3%	--
2024	83%	54%	29%	14%	3%	--
2025	81%	51%	30%	17%	2%	*
17.	Climate change (ASKED OF SAMPLE A, N=1,683)					
2011	27%	11%	16%	28%	43%	2%
2020	46%	23%	23%	20%	33%	1%
2021	54%^	33%	22%	20%	25%	--
2022	52%	31%	21%	25%	22%	1%
2023	49%	28%	21%	25%	25%	1%
2024	54%	32%	22%	21%	25%	--
2025	56%	32%	24%	21%	22%	1%
18.	Air pollution and smog (ASKED OF SAMPLE B, N=1,634)					
2011	40%	16%	24%	38%	22%	*
2020	43%	16%	27%	35%	22%	--
2021	43%	20%	23%	34%	23%	--
2022	49%	22%	27%	34%	17%	--
2024	55%^	26%	28%	27%	18%	--
2025	53%	23%	30%	28%	19%	--

Thinking about national public lands in **STATE** such as national parks, national forests, national monuments, or national wildlife refuges –

19. Which one would you prefer your Member of Congress place more emphasis on in upcoming decisions regarding national public lands – **(ROTATE :1-2)**

<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2021</u>	<u>2022</u>	<u>2023</u>	<u>2024</u>	<u>2025</u>	
65%	67%	69%	67%	68%	70%	72%	Ensuring we protect sources of clean water, our air quality and wildlife habitat while providing opportunities to visit and recreate on our national public lands
							...OR...
24%	25%	27%	28%	26%	26%	24%	Ensuring we produce more domestic energy by maximizing the amount of national public lands available for responsible oil and gas drilling and mining
6%	4%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	BOTH (DNR/NOT ONLINE)
2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	NEITHER/OTHER (DNR/NOT ONLINE)
4%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	UNSURE/REF (DNR/NOT ONLINE)

20. Over the past year, how many times do you think you have visited national public lands such as national parks, national forests, national monuments, national wildlife refuges, or other national public lands? **(ROTATE TOP TO BOTTOM/BOTTOM TO TOP)**

<u>2014</u>	<u>2021</u>	<u>2022</u>	<u>2024</u>	<u>2025</u>	
16%	22%	20%	25%	20%	Once or twice
22%	21%	20%	25%	25%	3-5 times
22%	13%	18%	15%	18%	6-10 times
14%	14%	11%	9%	11%	11-20 times
21%	19%	19%	12%	14%	More than 20 times
5%	12%	11%	13%	12%	Zero/None
*	--	1%	1%	--	Unsure/Refused (DNR/SHOW ONLINE)

Thinking now about our national public lands, such as U.S. forests and national monuments. There are a number of actions which could be taken in the next year or two. For each one, please **ONLINE**: indicate / **PHONES**: tell me if you would (**ROTATE**) support or oppose each one.

(IF SUPPORT/OPPOSE) And, do you **STRONGLY** (choice) or just **SOMEWHAT** (choice)?

	TOTAL SUPPORT	TOTAL OPPOSE	STR SUPPORT	SMWT SUPPORT	SMWT OPPOSE	STR OPPOSE	(DNR/NOT ONLINE) DK	REF
--	----------------------	---------------------	--------------------	---------------------	--------------------	-------------------	----------------------------	------------

The (first/next) one is... **(RANDOMIZE)(DO NOT SHOW ONLINE)**

22. Keeping the requirement that oil and gas companies, rather than taxpayers, pay for all of the clean-up and land restoration costs after drilling is finished. **(ASKED OF N=1,634)**

2021	93%	6%	77%	16%	4%	2%	1%	--
2022	91%	7%	74%	17%	4%	3%	1%	1%
2023	91%	8%	69%	22%	4%	4%	1%	--
2024	90%	9%	69%	21%	6%	3%	1%	--
2025	92%^	7%	75%	18%	4%	3%	1%	--

23. Removing protections on some areas of existing national public lands, particularly national monuments, to allow more drilling, mining and other development. **(ASKED OF N=1,683)**

2024	27%^	71%	10%	18%	25%	46%	1%	--
2025	27%	72%	10%	17%	22%	50%	1%	--

24. Only allowing oil and gas companies the right to drill in areas of public land where there is high likelihood to actually produce oil and gas. **(ASKED OF N=1,634)**

2023	72%	26%	35%	37%	14%	12%	1%	1%
2024	66%	32%	30%	36%	16%	16%	2%	--
2025	71%	27%	35%	36%	12%	15%	1%	1%

25. Managing public lands to ensure there are more outdoor places free of light pollution to see the stars at night. **(ASKED OF N=2,519)**

2024	89%^	10%	50%	38%	8%	2%	1%	--
2025	89%	9%	53%	36%	6%	3%	1%	1%

26. Ensuring that Native American tribes have greater input into decisions made about areas within national public lands that contain sites sacred to or culturally important to their tribe. **(ASKED OF N=2,484)**

2021	83%^	16%	60%	22%	9%	7%	1%	--
2023	85%^	13%	59%	27%	8%	5%	1%	--
2025	86%	13%	56%	30%	9%	4%	1%	*

	TOTAL SUPPORT	TOTAL OPPOSE	STR SUPPORT	SMWT SUPPORT	SMWT OPPOSE	STR OPPOSE	(DNR/NOT ONLINE) DK	REF
27.	Continuing to require oil and gas producers who operate on national public lands to use updated equipment and technology to prevent leaks of methane gas during the extraction process and reduce the need to burn off excess natural gas into the air.**							
2016	80%^	10%	63%	18%	3%	6%	2%	--
2018	75%	16%	58%	17%	5%	11%	3%	--
2025	87%^	12%	61%	27%	7%	5%	1%	--

*2021-2024 language read – “Requiring oil and gas companies, rather than federal and state governments, to pay for all of the clean-up and land restoration costs after drilling is finished.”

**2016 & 2018 language read – “Requiring oil and gas producers who operate on national public lands to use updated equipment and technology to prevent leaks of methane gas during the extraction process and reduce the need to burn off excess natural gas into the air.” A “no strong feelings” response was also allowed these years, 8% in 2016 chose this response and 6% in 2018 chose this response.

35. And, do you think that existing national monument designations for some public lands protected over the last decade (**ROTATE**) should be kept in place OR should they be removed?

(ON PHONES IF CHOICE) And would you DEFINITELY (keep in place/remove) or just PROBABLY (keep in place/remove) the protections?

<u>2017</u>	<u>2025</u>	
60%	57%	Definitely keep in place
20%	31%	Probably keep in place
6%	6%	Probably remove
7%	3%	Definitely remove
7%	3%	UNSURE/REFUSED (DNR/NOT ONLINE)
80%	88%	TOTAL KEEP IN PLACE
13%	9%	TOTAL REMOVE

37. Some people have proposed giving state government control over national public lands, such as national forests, national monuments, and national wildlife refuges in its borders. The state government would decide the future management of the lands, but state taxpayers would pay all costs, including the cost of maintenance and preventing and fighting wildfires.

Do you **(ROTATE)** support or oppose this proposal?

(ON PHONES IF CHOICE) And would that be **STRONGLY** (support/oppose) or just **SOMEWHAT** (support/oppose)?

<u>2017</u>	<u>2025</u>	
17%	12%	Strongly support
20%	21%	Somewhat support
17%	25%	Somewhat oppose
39%	40%	Strongly oppose
7%	2%	UNSURE (DNR/NOT ONLINE)
1%	--	REFUSED (DNR/NOT ONLINE)
37%	33%	TOTAL SUPPORT
56%	65%	TOTAL OPPOSE

**2017 language read – “Some Members of Congress have proposed giving state government control over national public lands, such as national forests, national monuments, and national wildlife refuges in its borders. The state government would decide the future management of the lands, but state taxpayers would pay all costs, including the cost of maintenance and preventing and fighting wildfires.”*
