

## October 1st, 2020 Full Council - Block 2 Week 2 - Held virtually

- I. Call to Order:
  - Meeting started at 5:00 MST
- II. Roll call:
  - Absent: Sakina, Anusha K, Saluja
  - Guests: Elliott Williams
- III. Distribution of minutes:
  - Minutes from September 24th, 2020 have been approved as distributed
- IV. Relevant Committee Updates:
  - Outreach:
    - Sending out mailers to get people to vote
    - CAL has submitted a proposal about CC's contract with the CCSPD. I was told that CCSGA would be apart of this but they have only reached out to the presidential council. This is frustrating because they need to include us all
  - Finance:
    - Clubs are having events and are reaching out about funding. So that is hopeful!
  - Internal affairs:
    - Please make sure you guys vote
    - In contact with Kimber about getting us paid. I know this is frustrating.
- V. Open Floor
  - Sophie: Someone asked if CC would consider, and CCSGA would push for pass-fail to be a default option.
  - Lilly: I know other students are doing a different grading system with it being remote. It is mostly large universities. But it wouldn't be out of the ordinary, I would be okay pushing that.
  - Sally: I would like to do a survey and get student opinion
  - Gaby: I feel like it's so easy to switch to pass-fail. I feel like this isn't the place we should be putting our resources and time
  - Ian: I am in favor of this but I do think we would need to gage student opinion. I will say one of the nice things is it gives shelter to people's struggles with resources, time, etc. They don't have to feel like they make the decision to go pass-fail. I do get that it doesn't look good for graduates school.
  - Sophie: That is the rationale behind it but it wouldn't raise GPAs in any way which might be needed for some students.
  - Ian: We got 0 applications for inclusion position and 1 for inclusion representative. Executive Council decided to run the elections again for the 3rd block. I think these are important positions that require passionate individuals. I

think we should open this up to first years because it would open the candidate pool

- Sally: I just worry (because it is inclusion) that they don't know enough experience on campus yet-- 2 blocks is not enough
- Fer: I think they are capable but the amount of experience on campus is limited. But the candidate pool is really limited too
- Sophie: I don't share this concern because if we remember that the bridge scholars had to petition to get back on campus and had to deal with profiling from campus officers.
- Sam: They are the only student group to come in on this online situation, they come with a very unique and important and critical perspective.
- Anusha V: I think it's important to have that perspective like Sam said but at the same time I get it
- Fer: Maybe we could encourage upperclassmen to apply as well as the first years. I think it would be valuable that there are people with more experience too
- Sally: Is this only for inclusion representatives?
- Ian: Yes
- Ian: I appreciate what you said Fer. I don't think I did enough for recruiting. That is another reason I want to do it in third block because we can do a more extensive recruiting process
- Lilly: So would it be with the executive elections?
- Ian: Yes
- Anusha V: Could we do a workshop to introduce what inclusion is at CC?
- Ian: I really like that idea. I personally don't feel qualified to run that.
- Elliott: I think it would be cool to make that open to a none-CCSGA representative. I think it would be cool to make sure others know that they can still come to meetings if they aren't elected. More constant involvement would be good.
- Sally: You sign up for all these clubs, and it's all this excitement until it dies down. I also think a freshman could potentially get involved and then not realize how much work it was which would be not good for inclusion
- Lilly: I think also in our marketing we can make that clearer
- Ian: I have trouble with the wording but I agree to emphasize the time commitment
- Gaby: I wonder if you can put a post on the handshake. I think people might take it a little more serious
- Elliott: Perhaps current inclusion members could give testimony to what it's like. A block in the life of a CCCSGA inclusion representative.

- Fer: I don't know if you guys were able to read the CAL proposal but its a proposal that is talking about the colleges' relationship with CSPD. Please read. Would we be willing to sign it as CCSGA members?
- Lilly: You can sign it right now even if we can't sign
- Ian: I totally agree with the proposal but here is my one concerned is if we sign we give away where we stand to the admin before even meeting with them
- Sally: I think we should wait to see if we can get a meeting with them

## VI. Adjournment