

2014 WESTERN STATES SURVEY

Interview Schedule

Public Opinion Strategies/FM3

January 7-13, 201

N = 400 voters per state

(AZ, CO, MT, NM, UT & WY)

Margin of Error: \pm 4.9% per state

Margin of Error: \pm 2.9% effective overall sample



Fairbank,
Maslin,
Maullin,
Metz &
Associates

FM3

In this document:

- An asterisk (*) in a response category means that less than 0.5% of respondents chose that response category and a dash (-) represents no response.
- An carrot (^) denotes rounding. Due to rounding, some figures may be higher or lower by less than one-half of one percent.
- "Skip base" is the percentage of voters that are asked a question because they meet a criteria based on a response to a previous question, meaning not all 2,400 respondents received that question.
- "Total base" is the percentage of the total sample, in this survey that is 2,400 voters.

C. Are you registered to vote in (STATE)?

<u>Total</u>	<u>AZ</u>	<u>CO</u>	<u>MT</u>	<u>NM</u>	<u>UT</u>	<u>WY</u>	
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	YES (CONTINUE)

1. And if a friend came to visit you in **(STATE)**, would you recommend that they ...

<u>Total</u>	<u>AZ</u>	<u>CO</u>	<u>MT</u>	<u>NM</u>	<u>UT</u>	<u>WY</u>	
14%	17%	9%	5%	23%	12%	5%	See something in a city or town, such as a museum, restaurants or shopping
							... OR ...
68%	64%	72%	83%	61%	68%	77%	See something in a natural area, such as a national park, wildlife area, mountains, canyon, forest, or river?
15%	16%	15%	9%	12%	18%	15%	BOTH (DO NOT READ)
1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	NEITHER (DO NOT READ)
2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	UNSURE/REFUSED (DO NOT READ)

I'd like to read you some different individuals and agencies which deal with issues in your state. For each one, please indicate whether you approve or disapprove of the job they are doing at this time.

(IF APPROVE/DISAPPROVE, ASK) And, do you STRONGLY (choice) or just SOMEWHAT?

(IF DON'T KNOW/NEVER HEARD OF, CLARIFY) Have you not heard of (INSERT) or do you just not have an opinion of the job they are doing at this time?

(ALWAYS ASK Q2 FIRST, FOLLOWED BY RANDOMIZED 3-6)

		----- DO NOT READ -----							
	Total Approve	Total Disapprove	Strongly Approve	Somewhat Approve	Somewhat Disapprove	Strongly Disapprove	Don't Know	Never Heard	Ref
2. Barack Obama									
Total	41%	56%	19%	22%	11%	45%	2%	1%	*
AZ	43%^	55%	20%	22%	11%	44%	2%	*	*
CO	48%	50%	21%	27%	9%	41%	2%	*	—
MT	34%	61%	16%	18%	9%	52%	2%	2%	—
NM	47%	51%	27%	20%	8%	43%	1%	1%	—
UT	25%	70%^	11%	14%	15%	54%	5%	1%	—
WY	24%	73%	13%	11%	12%	61%	2%	2%	—
Q3-6 Split Sampled									
3. U.S. Forest Service									
Total	73%	13%	30%	43%	7%	6%	13%	1%	1%
AZ	66%	14%^	25%	41%	8%	7%	18%	*	1%
CO	80%	10%	36%	44%	4%	6%	9%	*	1%
MT	66%	23%	21%	45%	13%	10%	10%	*	*
NM	75%	12%	41%	34%	8%	4%	10%	1%	2%
UT	76%	11%	30%	46%	9%	2%	11%	1%	2%
WY	77%^	16%	36%	40%	7%	9%	7%	—	1%
4. National Park Service									
Total	84%^	9%	44%	39%	6%	3%	6%	*	1%
AZ	87%^	7%^	49%	37%	6%	2%	5%	—	1%
CO	85%	8%	48%	37%	5%	3%	6%	1%	—
MT	79%^	13%	34%	44%	10%	3%	6%	2%	*
NM	75%	13%	39%	36%	7%	6%	10%	2%	1%
UT	83%	9%	38%	45%	6%	3%	7%	—	*
WY	77%	14%	33%	44%	8%	6%	7%	1%	—

— continued —

	Total Approve	Total Disapprove	Strongly Approve	Somewhat Approve	Somewhat Disapprove	Strongly Disapprove	Don't Know	Never Heard	Ref
----- DO NOT READ -----									
5.	US Fish and Wildlife Service								
Total	69%	10%	29%	40%	6%	4%	20%	1%	1%
AZ	66%	10%	30%	36%	7%	3%	24%	*	1%
CO	74%	7%	33%	41%	2%	5%	18%	*	—
MT	68%	20%	27%	41%	11%	9%	11%	*	1%
NM	68%^	12%	30%	39%	8%	4%	18%	1%	1%
UT	70%^	8%	24%	45%	6%	2%	20%	1%	2%
WY	72%^	21%^	29%	42%	12%	8%	8%	—	—
6.	Bureau of Land Management								
Total	52%^	23%	13%	38%	13%	10%	23%	1%	2%
AZ	48%	21%	14%	34%	15%	6%	27%	1%	4%
CO	54%	21%	14%	40%	11%	10%	23%	1%	2%
MT	60%	28%^	13%	47%	15%	12%	11%	1%	1%
NM	49%	26%	11%	38%	13%	13%	22%	2%	1%
UT	55%^	27%	13%	41%	11%	16%	18%	1%	*
WY	57%	25%	19%	38%	14%	11%	17%	1%	—

Next, I'm going to read you a list of issues some people say are problems in (STATE). For each one, please tell me if you think it is - (ROTATE TOP TO BOTTOM, BOTTOM TO TOP) an extremely serious problem, a very serious problem, a somewhat serious problem, or not a problem in (STATE).

The first/next one is... (RANDOMIZE)

	Extremely/ Very Serious	Total Serious	Extremely Serious	Very Serious	Somewhat Serious	Not A Problem	Don't Know/ Refused
Q7-13 Split Sampled							
7.	Loss of habitat for fish and wildlife						
Total	27%	67%	11%	16%	40%	28%	6%
AZ	27%^	64%^	13%	15%	37%	30%	6%
CO	31%^	73%	12%	20%	41%	22%	6%
MT	27%	64%	10%	17%	37%	34%	2%
NM	29%	70%	15%	14%	41%	26%	4%
UT	17%^	63%^	7%	11%	46%	30%	7%
WY	21%	56%	10%	11%	35%	41%	3%
8.	Pollution of rivers, lakes and streams						
Total	31%	75%	12%	19%	44%	23%	2%
AZ	38%	78%	15%	23%	40%	20%	1%
CO	26%	73%	7%	19%	47%	23%	4%
MT	24%	67%	10%	14%	43%	31%	2%
NM	38%	77%	20%	18%	39%	22%	1%
UT	25%	75%	9%	16%	50%	22%	2%
WY	16%	51%^	5%	11%	36%	46%	3%
9.	Air pollution and smog						
Total	32%	75%^	13%	19%	42%	25%	1%
AZ	36%	81%	13%	23%	45%	17%	2%
CO	25%	74%	7%	18%	49%	26%	–
MT	11%^	39%	5%	7%	27%	61%	–
NM	20%	63%	11%	9%	43%	37%	1%
UT	67%	95%	35%	32%	28%	5%	1%
WY	6%	29%	3%	3%	23%	71%	*

	Extremely/ Very Serious	Total Serious	Extremely Serious	Very Serious	Somewhat Serious	Not A Problem	Don't Know/ Refused
10. Our dependence on foreign oil							
Total	47%	78%	23%	24%	31%	19%	3%
AZ	51%	78%	26%	25%	27%	18%	4%
CO	44%	77%	21%	23%	33%	22%	2%
MT	43%^	71%^	21%	21%	28%	21%	8%
NM	49%	78%^	28%	21%	30%	19%	2%
UT	46%^	83%^	20%	27%	37%	14%	3%
WY	36%	72%	19%	17%	36%	27%	1%
11. Our dependence on oil							
Total	41%	76%	18%	23%	35%	21%	3%
AZ	46%^	83%^	20%	25%	37%	15%	2%
CO	42%	73%	19%	23%	31%	24%	3%
MT	32%	71%	13%	19%	39%	27%	2%
NM	36%^	70%^	18%	19%	34%	24%	6%
UT	41%	79%	16%	25%	38%	18%	3%
WY	23%	53%	9%	14%	30%	45%	2%
12. Unemployment							
Total	54%	91%	24%	30%	37%	8%	1%
AZ	65%^	95%^	32%	34%	30%	4%	1%
CO	48%	92%^	17%	31%	45%	7%	1%
MT	43%	87%	19%	24%	44%	12%	1%
NM	74%^	95%^	36%	39%	21%	4%	*
UT	34%	83%^	12%	22%	50%	14%	2%
WY	22%	64%	9%	13%	42%	36%	*
13. Low levels of water in rivers							
Total	50%	82%	23%	27%	32%	15%	3%
AZ	51%^	84%	23%	27%	34%	12%	3%
CO	51%	82%	23%	28%	31%	15%	3%
MT	30%	61%	12%	18%	31%	38%	2%
NM	75%	93%	47%	28%	18%	6%	1%
UT	43%	81%	13%	30%	38%	14%	5%
WY	26%^	68%	11%	14%	43%	27%	5%

TOTAL SAMPLE: Summary of Issues - Ranked By % Extremely/Very Serious

Issues	% Extremely/ Very Serious	% Total Serious
Unemployment	54%	91%
Low levels of water in rivers	50%	82%
Our dependence on foreign oil	47%	78%
Our dependence on oil	41%	76%
Air pollution and smog	32%	75%
Pollution of rivers, lakes and streams	31%	75%
Loss of habitat for fish and wildlife	27%	67%

Asked in Colorado, Wyoming & Utah Only

Thinking about water in your state -

14. Many experts say that **(STATE)** will likely face water shortage situations in the future. They say that we face a choice in how we address that shortage. So, which one of the following would you prefer state water officials invest more time and resources in pursuing? **(ROTATE)**

<u>CO</u>	<u>UT</u>	<u>WY</u>	
78%	76%	75%	Using our current water supply more wisely, by encouraging more water conservation, reducing use, and increasing recycling of water
			... OR ...
14%	12%	13%	Diverting more water from rivers in less populated areas of the state to communities where more people live
2%	7%	4%	BOTH (DO NOT READ)
3%	1%	3%	SOMETHING ELSE/OTHER (DO NOT READ)
*	1%	3%	DON'T DO ANYTHING/NOTHING/NO NEED (DO NOT READ)
2%	2%	3%	UNSURE/REFUSED (DO NOT READ)

For each of the following, please tell me if you agree or disagree with that particular statement.

(IF AGREE/DISAGREE:) And do you STRONGLY (agree/disagree) with that or just SOMEWHAT (agree/disagree) with that?

The (first/next) one is... **(ROTATE)**

	Total Agree	Total Disagree	Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	DK ----DNR----	Ref
15.	The closing of national parks and public lands to visitors and recreation during the recent government shutdown hurt small businesses and the economy of communities in (STATE) .							
Total	85%	13%	62%	23%	6%	7%	2%	*
AZ	84%	14%	60%	24%	6%	8%	1%	1%
CO	87%	11%	64%	23%	5%	6%	1%	—
MT	83%	14%	60%	23%	6%	8%	2%	*
NM	78%	20%	58%	20%	10%	10%	2%	—
UT	89%	8%	69%	20%	3%	5%	3%	—
WY	85%	13%^	66%	19%	6%	8%	2%	—
16.	Funding for national parks, national forests and other public lands should not be cut, as it provides a big return for a small investment.							
Total	83%	13%	57%	26%	8%	5%	4%	1%
AZ	85%	11%^	60%	25%	6%	6%	3%	1%
CO	83%	13%	56%	27%	8%	5%	4%	1%
MT	76%^	17%	52%	25%	11%	6%	6%	*
NM	82%	14%	56%	26%	8%	6%	4%	*
UT	81%	14%	52%	29%	9%	5%	4%	—
WY	82%	15%	54%	28%	9%	6%	3%	*

17. And over the past year, how many times do you think you have visited public lands, such as national parks, national forests, Bureau of Management lands, wildlife refuges, or state parks ?
(ROTATE TOP TO BOTTOM, BOTTOM TO TOP)

<u>Total</u>	<u>AZ</u>	<u>CO</u>	<u>MT</u>	<u>NM</u>	<u>UT</u>	<u>WY</u>	
16%	18%	13%	11%	17%	15%	9%	Once or twice
22%	24%	20%	19%	26%	23%	14%	3-5 times
22%	22%	22%	13%	21%	23%	17%	6-10 times
14%	10%	17%	15%	15%	16%	16%	11-20 times
21%	19%	22%	38%	15%	19%	40%	More than 20 times
5%	6%	5%	4%	6%	4%	3%	NEVER (DO NOT READ)
*	1%	1%	*	—	*	*	UNSURE/REFUSED (DO NOT READ)
5%	6%	5%	4%	6%	4%	3%	NEVER
37%^	43%^	34%^	30%	44%^	37%^	23%	1-5 TIMES
36%	32%	39%	28%	35%^	39%	34%^	6-20 TIMES
21%	19%	22%	38%	15%	19%	40%	MORE THAN 20 TIMES

18. And when you think about the federal government shutdown last year, which of the following best describes how you felt about the subsequent closing of public lands like national parks, national forests, and hunting and outdoor recreation areas? Were you... **(RANDOMIZE - ACCEPT ONE)**

<u>Total</u>	<u>AZ</u>	<u>CO</u>	<u>MT</u>	<u>NM</u>	<u>UT</u>	<u>WY</u>	
29%	27%	32%	27%	26%	33%	26%	Annoyed
27%	30%	23%	29%	25%	24%	31%	Angry
19%	18%	19%	16%	23%	18%	17%	Concerned
14%	14%	13%	14%	15%	17%	17%	Upset
9%	8%	11%	12%	8%	5%	7%	Indifferent
1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	Happy
1%	1%	1%	1%	*	1%	1%	NONE / OTHER (DO NOT READ)
1%	*	*	*	2%	1%	*	UNSURE/REFUSED (DO NOT READ)

19. Some Members of Congress have proposed selling off some public lands, such as National Forest land or Bureau of Land Management areas, as a way to help reduce the budget deficit. Would you support or oppose the sale of public lands?

(IF SUPPORT/OPPOSE, ASK:) And would that be STRONGLY (support/oppose) or just SOMEWHAT (support/oppose)?

<u>Total</u>	<u>AZ</u>	<u>CO</u>	<u>MT</u>	<u>NM</u>	<u>UT</u>	<u>WY</u>	
8%	8%	6%	7%	8%	13%	7%	STRONGLY SUPPORT
11%	11%	10%	9%	8%	15%	13%	SOMEWHAT SUPPORT
15%	13%	17%	15%	14%	18%	17%	SOMEWHAT OPPOSE
58%	61%	60%	63%	64%	42%	55%	STRONGLY OPPOSE
7%	7%	7%	5%	6%	10%	7%	DON'T KNOW (DO NOT READ)
1%	*	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	REFUSED (DO NOT READ)
19%	19%	15%^	16%	16%	28%	20%	TOTAL SUPPORT
74%^	74%	77%	78%	78%	60%	73%^	TOTAL OPPOSE

In thinking about the elections for U.S. Congress this November, please tell me how a candidate taking each of the following positions would impact your vote - would it make you more likely or less likely to vote for that candidate, or would it not make much difference in your vote decision? **(RANDOMIZE)**

(IF MORE/LESS LIKELY, ASK) And, would you be MUCH (more/less) likely to vote for that candidate or just SOMEWHAT?

	Total More Likely	Total Less Likely	Much More Likely	Somewhat More Likely	Somewhat Less Likely	Much Less Likely	No Difference	Ref
Q20-27 Split Sampled								
20.	A candidate who wants to promote more use of renewable energy - like wind and solar power							
Total	72%	18%^	44%	28%	5%	12%	9%	1%
AZ	72%	20%	48%	24%	4%	16%	7%	1%
CO	76%	15%^	48%	28%	5%	11%	7%	1%
MT	66%	19%	37%	29%	4%	15%	14%	1%
NM	73%^	12%	47%	27%	4%	8%	15%	*
UT	67%	19%^	35%	32%	10%	10%	12%	1%
WY	60%	22%	32%	28%	11%	11%	14%	4%
21.	A candidate who voted to stop taxpayer support for solar and wind energy companies							
Total	29%	54%	16%	13%	22%	32%	15%	2%
AZ	30%	55%	15%	15%	22%	33%	13%	2%
CO	26%	59%	15%	11%	24%	35%	15%	1%
MT	27%	48%	13%	14%	23%	25%	22%	3%
NM	23%	61%^	13%	10%	18%	42%	15%	2%
UT	35%	45%^	21%	14%	25%	21%	18%	2%
WY	39%	43%	25%	14%	25%	18%	15%	2%
22.	A candidate who votes to increase funding for agencies like the U.S. Forest Service							
Total	58%	21%	22%	36%	14%	7%	19%	1%
AZ	63%	16%	24%	39%	12%	4%	19%	2%
CO	57%	22%^	20%	37%	15%	6%	20%	1%
MT	49%	29%	19%	30%	13%	16%	16%	6%
NM	54%	22%	27%	27%	13%	9%	22%	2%
UT	55%	27%	17%	38%	16%	11%	18%	1%
WY	48%	29%^	15%	33%	13%	15%	23%	*

	Total More Likely	Total Less Likely	Much More Likely	Somewhat More Likely	Somewhat Less Likely	Much Less Likely	No Difference	Ref
23.	A candidate who votes to reduce funding for agencies like the U.S. Forest Service							
Total	17%	67%	8%	9%	30%	37%	14%	2%
AZ	17%	71%^	9%	8%	33%	37%	11%	2%
CO	13%	74%^	5%	8%	29%	44%	12%	2%
MT	18%	60%^	9%	9%	23%	38%	19%	2%
NM	19%	61%	10%	9%	25%	36%	17%	3%
UT	18%	60%	6%	12%	35%	25%	19%	3%
WY	15%	67%^	6%	9%	35%	31%	17%	2%
24.	A candidate who supports enhancing protections for some public lands like national forests							
Total	69%^	13%	33%	35%	8%	5%	17%	2%
AZ	69%	10%	34%	35%	7%	3%	19%	2%
CO	72%	13%	34%	38%	6%	7%	14%	1%
MT	64%	19%	24%	40%	9%	10%	16%	1%
NM	68%^	10%^	39%	30%	5%	4%	21%	1%
UT	66%	14%	30%	36%	9%	5%	17%	3%
WY	62%	17%	34%	28%	12%	5%	17%	4%
25.	A candidate who supports selling public lands like national forests to reduce the budget deficit							
Total	16%	72%^	5%	11%	21%	52%	10%	2%
AZ	16%^	74%^	5%	12%	20%	53%	9%	1%
CO	15%	73%	2%	13%	19%	54%	10%	1%
MT	14%	75%^	7%	7%	19%	57%	9%	1%
NM	12%	75%^	6%	6%	15%	59%	12%	1%
UT	19%	63%	6%	13%	27%	36%	11%	7%
WY	18%	71%	7%	11%	22%	49%	10%	1%
26.	A candidate who wants to continue tax incentives for land owners who willingly agree to keep their land as working farms, forests or in a natural state							
Total	68%	13%	32%	36%	7%	6%	17%	2%
AZ	68%	13%^	32%	36%	7%	7%	16%	3%
CO	68%	13%	31%	37%	8%	6%	17%	1%
MT	72%^	7%	43%	30%	4%	3%	19%	2%
NM	69%^	9%	30%	38%	4%	5%	20%	2%
UT	66%^	16%^	27%	38%	12%	5%	16%	3%
WY	66%	14%	35%	31%	9%	5%	18%	2%

- continued -

	Total More Likely	Total Less Likely	Much More Likely	Somewhat More Likely	Somewhat Less Likely	Much Less Likely	No Difference	Ref
27. A candidate who wants to reduce government red tape so that there can be more oil and gas development in your state								
Total	54%	33%	35%	19%	13%	20%	11%	2%
AZ	55%^	32%	36%	18%	13%	19%	10%	3%
CO	47%^	41%	30%	18%	16%	25%	10%	1%
MT	62%	29%	38%	24%	12%	17%	8%	1%
NM	56%	30%	37%	19%	9%	21%	13%	1%
UT	60%^	26%^	35%	24%	12%	13%	14%	1%
WY	67%	23%	44%	23%	13%	10%	8%	3%

TOTAL SAMPLE: Summary of Candidate Positions - Ranked By % Much More Likely

Summary of Candidate Positions	% Much More Likely	% Total More Likely
A candidate who wants to promote more use of renewable energy - like wind and solar power	44%	72%
A candidate who wants to reduce government red tape so that there can be more oil and gas development in your state	35%	54%
A candidate who supports enhancing protections for some public lands like national forests	33%	69%
A candidate who wants to continue tax incentives for land owners who willingly agree to keep their land as working farms, forests or in a natural state	32%	68%
A candidate who votes to increase funding for agencies like the U.S. Forest Service	22%	58%
A candidate who voted to stop taxpayer support for solar and wind energy companies	16%	29%
A candidate who votes to reduce funding for agencies like the U.S. Forest Service	8%	17%
A candidate who supports selling public lands like national forests to reduce the budget deficit	5%	16%

TOTAL SAMPLE: Summary of Candidate Positions - Ranked By % Much Less Likely

Summary of Candidate Positions	% Much Less Likely	% Total Less Likely
A candidate who supports selling public lands like national forests to reduce the budget deficit	52%	72%
A candidate who votes to reduce funding for agencies like the U.S. Forest Service	37%	67%
A candidate who voted to stop taxpayer support for solar and wind energy companies	32%	54%
A candidate who wants to reduce government red tape so that there can be more oil and gas development in your state	20%	33%
A candidate who wants to promote more use of renewable energy - like wind and solar power	12%	18%
A candidate who votes to increase funding for agencies like the U.S. Forest Service	7%	21%
A candidate who wants to continue tax incentives for land owners who willingly agree to keep their land as working farms, forests or in a natural state	6%	13%
A candidate who supports enhancing protections for some public lands like national forests	5%	13%

Switching topics -- as you may know, large parts of sagebrush areas throughout the West have been developed in recent years. That has affected wildlife like mule deer, antelope, and especially the sage grouse

28. Some states have proposed conserving more of this wildlife habitat to help ensure that sage grouse do not become even more rare. They want to avoid the bird being listed by the federal government as an endangered species, which would trigger more federal regulation and could stop certain development - like oil and gas production - on public AND private lands.

Would you support or oppose investing state funds in protecting sage grouse habitat in **(STATE)**?

(IF SUPPORT/OPPOSE, ASK:) And would that be STRONGLY (support/oppose) or just SOMEWHAT (support/oppose)?

Total	AZ	CO	MT	NM	UT	WY	
29%	31%	31%	25%	34%	18%	24%	STRONGLY SUPPORT
28%	27%	28%	29%	25%	30%	29%	SOMEWHAT SUPPORT
15%	14%	15%	13%	13%	24%	17%	SOMEWHAT OPPOSE
18%	18%	16%	23%	18%	17%	22%	STRONGLY OPPOSE
9%	9%	9%	9%	9%	10%	7%	DON'T KNOW (DO NOT READ)
1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	REFUSED (DO NOT READ)
57%	57%^	59%	54%	59%	48%	53%	TOTAL SUPPORT
33%	32%	31%	36%	31%	41%	39%	TOTAL OPPOSE

29. The federal government allows oil and gas drilling to take place on public lands, like some national forest land, wildlife refuges, and bureau of land management areas. Which of the following comes closest to your point of view - **(ROTATE TOP TO BOTTOM, BOTTOM TO TOP)**

<u>Total</u>	<u>AZ</u>	<u>CO</u>	<u>MT</u>	<u>NM</u>	<u>UT</u>	<u>WY</u>	
26%	27%	30%	27%	30%	16%	15%	Oil and gas drilling on public lands should be strictly limited.
52%	54%	50%	50%	48%	56%	58%	Some public lands should be drilled, while environmentally sensitive places should be permanently protected.
18%	15%	18%	20%	19%	23%	23%	Public lands should generally be open to oil and gas drilling.
2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	NO DRILLING ON PUBLIC LANDS (DO NOT READ)
*	*	*	*	*	—	1%	OTHER (DO NOT READ)
2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	UNSURE/REFUSED (DO NOT READ)

30. The Bureau of Land Management is responsible for balancing oil and gas drilling, fish and wildlife habitat protection, and recreational uses on millions of acres of public lands. In areas where there could be future disagreements over the best use of the land, the agency is using a new tool, called a Master Leasing Plan. Before any oil or gas drilling is considered, the Master Leasing Plan would map out specific areas appropriate for oil and gas drilling, and create protections where needed for wildlife, water and historic sites. Oil and gas companies, local governments, local businesses environmental organizations, and the public will be able to provide input in the design of the plan.

Having heard this, do you support or oppose Master Leasing Plans being used in your state?

(IF SUPPORT/OPPOSE, ASK:) And would that be STRONGLY (support/oppose) or just SOMEWHAT (support/oppose)?

Total	AZ	CO	MT	NM	UT	WY	
24%	24%	24%	24%	26%	25%	27%	STRONGLY SUPPORT
39%	39%	38%	39%	38%	42%	39%	SOMEWHAT SUPPORT
11%	13%	11%	11%	9%	10%	10%	SOMEWHAT OPPOSE
12%	12%	12%	12%	13%	10%	13%	STRONGLY OPPOSE
12%	12%	14%	13%	12%	13%	11%	NOT SURE (DO NOT READ)
1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	REFUSED (DO NOT READ)
63%	63%	62%	63%	64%	67%	66%	TOTAL SUPPORT
23%	25%	22%^	24%^	22%	20%	23%	TOTAL OPPOSE

31. Thinking more about this, please tell me which point of view comes closest to your own, even if neither matches your point of view exactly. **(ROTATE)**

<u>Total</u>	<u>AZ</u>	<u>CO</u>	<u>MT</u>	<u>NM</u>	<u>UT</u>	<u>WY</u>	
62%	61%	64%	58%	59%	60%	58%	Those who support master leasing plans say that some places are appropriate for drilling, but on some public lands drilling could negatively affect our rivers, wildlife, or opportunities for hunting, fishing, and outdoor recreation. These plans will resolve conflicts in advance so that wildlife habitat, air quality and water quality are protected, and leasing can move forward in appropriate areas with fewer delays.
							... OR ...
25%	24%	25%	30%	26%	28%	31%	Those who oppose master leasing plans say that the government already takes years planning for whether or not oil and gas drilling can occur in specific sites. Master leasing plans are yet another layer of red tape that will slow down responsible energy production on public lands, making it take even longer for oil and gas companies to develop our country's energy resources.
1%	1%	*	1%	1%	1%	2%	BOTH (DO NOT READ)
4%	5%	2%	3%	5%	2%	2%	NEITHER (DO NOT READ)
9%	10%	8%	9%	9%	8%	8%	UNSURE/REFUSED (DO NOT READ)

32. On a related topic, how much have you seen, read or heard about the practice of hydraulic fracturing, sometimes called fracking, used in oil and gas development? **(ROTATE TOP TO BOTTOM/BOTTOM TO TOP)**

<u>Total</u>	<u>AZ</u>	<u>CO</u>	<u>MT</u>	<u>NM</u>	<u>UT</u>	<u>WY</u>	
37%	29%	51%	46%	32%	24%	54%	A lot
31%	30%	33%	32%	30%	35%	31%	Some
14%	17%	9%	12%	13%	17%	10%	Not much
16%	23%	5%	9%	24%	22%	4%	Nothing at all
1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	UNSURE/REFUSED (DO NOT READ)
69%^	59%	84%	78%	62%	59%	85%	TOTAL A LOT/SOME
30%	40%	13%^	20%^	37%	39%	14%	TOTAL NOT MUCH/NOTHING

Among "Seen A Lot" or "Seen Some"

33. And thinking about the laws which oversee the practice of fracking in **(STATE)** -- Which one comes closer to your view - **(ROTATE TOP TO BOTTOM, BOTTOM TO TOP)**

Skip Base (Seen A Lot / Seen Some)

<u>Total</u>	<u>AZ</u>	<u>CO</u>	<u>MT</u>	<u>NM</u>	<u>UT</u>	<u>WY</u>	
27%	30%	28%	22%	32%	17%	18%	The laws ought to be made tougher
27%	24%	29%	31%	25%	31%	28%	The laws are tough enough, but they need to be better enforced
16%	11%	19%	20%	13%	16%	29%	Both the laws and enforcement are at the right level
17%	16%	18%	18%	16%	23%	19%	The laws are too tough and red tape needs to be reduced
12%	19%	6%	9%	13%	14%	6%	UNSURE/REFUSED (DO NOT READ)

Total Sample

<u>Total</u>	<u>AZ</u>	<u>CO</u>	<u>MT</u>	<u>NM</u>	<u>UT</u>	<u>WY</u>	
19%	18%	24%	17%	20%	10%	15%	The laws ought to be made tougher
19%	14%	24%	24%	16%	18%	24%	The laws are tough enough, but they need to be better enforced
11%	6%	16%	16%	8%	9%	25%	Both the laws and enforcement are at the right level
12%	9%	15%	14%	10%	13%	16%	The laws are too tough and red tape needs to be reduced
8%	11%	5%	7%	8%	9%	5%	UNSURE/REFUSED (DO NOT READ)

Asked in Colorado Only

34. This November, there could be a ballot measure in Colorado regarding local communities' ability to delay or ban hydraulic fracturing, or fracking. Would you say it is better to –
- 22% Have the state regulate the location and extent of fracking, so that there are consistent standards
- ... OR ...
- 55% Allow local communities to regulate the location and extent of fracking, so that they can decide what happens in their community
- 20% Or do you not have an opinion at this time?
- 4% REFUSED (**DO NOT READ**)
-

Thinking about you for a moment -

D1. To ensure we have a representative sample of voters in your state, please tell me in what year you were born.

<u>Total</u>	<u>AZ</u>	<u>CO</u>	<u>MT</u>	<u>NM</u>	<u>UT</u>	<u>WY</u>	
19%	22%	19%	15%	16%	20%	14%	18 - 34
26%	26%	25%	23%	21%	33%	27%	35 - 44
17%	16%	18%	15%	23%	15%	20%	45 - 54
21%	19%	22%	24%	20%	19%	23%	55 - 64
16%	16%	15%	22%	20%	12%	15%	65 AND ABOVE
1%	1%	1%	1%	*	*	1%	REFUSED

Do you consider yourself to be... (ROTATE)

	Yes	No	DK/Ref
D2. a supporter of the Tea Party?			
Total	28%	63%	8%
AZ	29%	61%	9%
CO	25%	68%	7%
MT	33%	59%	7%
NM	28%	63%	9%
UT	27%	65%	8%
WY	36%	55%	9%

D3. a conservationist?

Total	64%	30%	6%
AZ	62%	32%	5%
CO	63%	31%	6%
MT	73%	22%	5%
NM	67%	26%	6%
UT	62%	32%	5%
WY	73%	22%	5%

And for statistical purposes only...

D4. How would you describe the area where you currently live? **(ROTATE TOP TO BOTTOM/BOTTOM TO TOP)**

Total	AZ	CO	MT	NM	UT	WY	
21%	27%	19%	11%	25%	15%	8%	A big city
35%	39%	44%	10%	13%	42%	8%	A suburban area
22%	17%	18%	34%	33%	24%	50%	A small town
20%	16%	18%	43%	27%	17%	33%	A rural area
1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	–	DON'T KNOW/NOT SURE (DO NOT READ)
1%	1%	1%	*	*	1%	1%	REFUSED (DO NOT READ)
56%	66%	62%^	21%	38%	57%	16%	TOTAL BIG CITY/SUBURBAN
42%	33%	36%	77%	60%	41%	83%	TOTAL SMALL TOWN/RURAL

D5. Do you consider yourself a hunter, an angler or both?

Total	AZ	CO	MT	NM	UT	WY	
5%	5%	5%	6%	5%	5%	8%	HUNTER ONLY
13%	14%	14%	10%	11%	13%	13%	ANGLER ONLY
22%	19%	20%	47%	22%	21%	46%	BOTH
58%	62%	59%	37%	59%	60%	32%	NO/NEITHER
1%	1%	2%	*	3%	1%	1%	UNSURE/REFUSED (DO NOT READ)
41%	38%	39%	63%	39%	39%	67%	TOTAL YES
58%	62%	59%	37%	59%	60%	32%	NO/NEITHER

D6. ***In Arizona, Colorado, New Mexico & Wyoming***

No matter how you feel today, are you registered to vote as ... **(ROTATE)**
a Republican, a Democrat, an Independent, or something else?

In Montana & Utah

And, in politics today, do you consider yourself to be ... **(ROTATE)**
a Republican, a Democrat, an Independent, or something else?

<u>Total</u>	<u>AZ</u>	<u>CO</u>	<u>MT</u>	<u>NM</u>	<u>UT</u>	<u>WY</u>	
36%	36%	33%	30%	35%	43%	61%	REPUBLICAN
30%	31%	33%	24%	48%	12%	22%	DEMOCRAT
31%	31%	32%	43%	14%	43%	14%	INDEPENDENT/ SOMETHING ELSE
2%	2%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%	REFUSED (DO NOT READ)

D7. Generally speaking, do you consider yourself to be **(ROTATE TOP TO BOTTOM, BOTTOM TO TOP)** conservative, moderate ...or... liberal on most issues?

<u>Total</u>	<u>AZ</u>	<u>CO</u>	<u>MT</u>	<u>NM</u>	<u>UT</u>	<u>WY</u>	
40%	36%	37%	48%	40%	51%	49%	CONSERVATIVE
37%	37%	37%	35%	34%	35%	39%	MODERATE
20%	23%	23%	13%	22%	12%	9%	LIBERAL
2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	1%	2%	DON'T KNOW (DO NOT READ)
1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	REFUSED (DO NOT READ)

D8. What is your main ethnic or racial heritage? **(READ CHOICES)**

<u>Total</u>	<u>AZ</u>	<u>CO</u>	<u>MT</u>	<u>NM</u>	<u>UT</u>	<u>WY</u>	
2%	4%	2%	*	4%	*	*	AFRICAN AMERICAN OR BLACK
75%	70%	79%	86%	48%	92%	87%	WHITE
14%	16%	12%	4%	36%	4%	5%	HISPANIC AMERICAN OR LATINO
4%	4%	2%	6%	8%	*	4%	NATIVE AMERICAN
4%	5%	5%	3%	3%	2%	3%	OTHER (DO NOT READ)
1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	REFUSED (DO NOT READ)

D9. Gender (BY OBSERVATION)

<u>Total</u>	<u>AZ</u>	<u>CO</u>	<u>MT</u>	<u>NM</u>	<u>UT</u>	<u>WY</u>	
48%	48%	48%	48%	48%	48%	48%	MALE
52%	52%	52%	52%	52%	52%	52%	FEMALE

Phone Type

<u>Total</u>	<u>AZ</u>	<u>CO</u>	<u>MT</u>	<u>NM</u>	<u>UT</u>	<u>WY</u>	
25%	27%	28%	23%	23%	22%	19%	Cell Phone
75%	73%	72%	77%	77%	78%	81%	Landline
